

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD D. CASITY,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMADOR COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-2449-DC-CSK (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

(ECF No. 7)

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On May 21, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff did not file objections to the findings and recommendations.


The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 7) are adopted in full;
2. This action is dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **July 25, 2025**


Dena Coggins
United States District Judge